

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	TWO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/12/2002	Nicanor A. Domingo	37217-8103.US00	6074
10/074,546			EXAMINER	
7590 10/04/2004 SHEMWELL AND GREGORY LLP			BUI, VY Q	
4880 STEVEN	IS CREEK BLVD, ST	E. 201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	A 95129		3731	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/074,546	DOMINGO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Vy Q. Bui	3731				
Period fo							
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS FROM THE MAILING BOTH OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS FROM THE MAILING BOTH OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNIC	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2003.						
. 2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting the properties of the p	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
2) \ No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 per No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		<u></u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/074,546

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-17, drawn to a tissue expansion device, classified in class 606, subclass 198.

II. Claims 18-26, drawn to a method of disrupting a vascular occlusion of a patient, classified in class 606, subclass 159.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the device as claimed can be used to expand a body orifice.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig. 1.

Species II: Fig. 8.

Species III: Fig. 9.

Species IV: Fig. 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,546

Art Unit: 3731

Species V: Fig. 11.

Species VI: Fig. 16.

Species VII: Fig. 19.

Species VIII: Fig. 24.

Species IX: Fig. 26.

Species X: Fig. 28.

Species XI: Fig. 29.

Species XII: Fig. 32.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if

Art Unit: 3731

the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2158. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731

09/20/2004